

SOUTHERN DISTRICT
NEW YORKDANA H. FOX, PRO-SE
V.
MOTORS LIQUIDATORS, ET AL

CASE 09-50026 (REG)

MOTION TO RECONSIDER
COMPENSATORY DAMAGES.

AS THE COURT HAS AWARDED PRO-SE DAMAGES IN
REGARDS TO THE AURORA AUTOMOBILES: THERE IS
AN INCORRECT JUDGEMENT IN U.S. DISTRICT AND APPEALS
COURT CONTRARY TO CONSUMER PROTECTION LAWS AND
FACTS; THESE COURTS HAVE RETURNED JURISDICTION
TO U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT AND PRO-SE HAS NUMEROUS
REFERENCES AS TO WHY ADDITIONAL DAMAGES AWARDS
APPLY: THERE IS A LIMIT AS TO WHAT THESE
DAMAGES ARE AND MR. FOX PRO-SE MOTIONS
FOR THE FULL AMOUNT ALLOWED BY LAW AS
THE COURT CAN WEIGH GENERAL MOTORS, INC. § CONTEMPT
OF COURT AVOIDANCE BY DECLARING BANKRUPTCY
AND THE FACT & LAW THAT G.M., INC. IS A
REPEAT OFFENDER IN THIS ENTIRE MATTER,
THAT'S IT, PLAIN AND SIMPLE... YOU CAN ALL CONTINUE
TO MAKE IT OVERLY COMPLICATED... GOOD LUCK WITH
OVERLY COMPLICATED AUTOMOBILES AND FUTURE LITIGATION;
[AS HERE, IN THIS MATTER WITH CASE REFERENCES THAT
DO NOT APPLY TO MR. FOX'S MATTER AT HAND].

I CERTIFY THAT A TRUE COPY
IS FORWARDED BY U.S. MAIL
TO JEREMY SMOLEWICKY FOR
ET AL ON THIS DATE
5/22/13
[SERVICE] D.247.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED
Dana H. Fox, Pro Se
DANA H. FOX " "